# Bank and Policy



Volume II Issue 1, 2022

ISSN: 2790-1041

INTERNATIONAL PEER-REVIEWED ACADEMIC BIMONTHLY JOURNAL

E-ISSN: 2790 - 2366

## The Impacts of Board Independence on Earnings Manipulation: Empirical Research in Vietnam

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3058-9501

http://dx.doi.org/10.29228/imcra.13

Author:

Nguyen Thanh Lam

- Faculty of Finance and Commerce, Ho Chi Minh City University of Economics and Finance, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, E-mail:lamnt2@uef.edu.vn

Abstract: Using research on listed firms in Vietnamese stock market, the goal of this study is to investigate the impact of board independence on earnings manipulation. This study used a sample of Vietnamese listed firms that were listed on the Vietnam stock exchange between 2016 and 2020. Discretionary accruals (DA) are used as a proxy for earnings manipulation in this study. The adjusted Jones model of Kothari et al. (2005) was employed to recognize DA of these companies. In order to control for time-variant endogeneity, the study additionally uses a fixed effect model with a panel data framework. This paper figured out that board independence has a negative and significant relationship with earnings manipulation. The results are essential to policymakers and investors by giving them with a broader perspective on developing well-defined policies, evaluating the firm's performance using usable information, and monitoring managers' earnings manipulation actions. Furthermore, the study also helps the shareholders to get understanding that having independent directors on board will lead to a decrease in earnings manipulations.

**Keywords:** Board independence, earnings manipulation, Vietnamese listed firms, discretionary

accruals (DA)

Date: Accepted: 30. Dec., 2021 Reviewed: 28.Nov., 2021 Received: 15.Nov., 2021

JEL Classifications: M400

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Research Background and Research Motivation

Due to the rapid economic growth and significant involvement in the global economy, developing markets have received a lot of academic attention in recent years (Hoskisson et al., 2000). Despite fast growth, the accuracy and quality of the financial reporting information conducted by several corporations in those countries remains a source of concern for users and researchers (Wang and Yung, 2011; Li, Ho Park and Shuji Bao, 2014; Switzer, Tu and Wang, 2018). Several accounting scandals have arisen in recent decades, exposing unethical practices and emphasizing the importance of financial data reliability and clarity (Lang and Lundholm, 2000). Managers have abused the accounting policy's flexibility to manipulate earnings (Parfet, 2000). As a result, earnings manipulation is a critical problem in emerging nations, as it can affect corporate alliances and foreign investment (Chen, Elder and Hsieh, 2007).

Corporate failures have resulted in earnings manipulation, which has become a major source of concern for investors. Boards of directors, in particular, are in charge of making decisions. On the other hand, there are several reasons why managers' decisions no longer optimize shareholder wealth and lead to organizational failures. The agency hypothesis is mentioned in this case (Jensen

and Meckling, 1976). Furthermore, according to Argüden (2010), the quality of corporate governance is determined by the membership of the board of directors, the decision-making process, and the organizational structure of the company. The board of directors is regarded as the company's beating heart, having the power to define strategy, structure, and oversee the entire operation (Pudjiastuti and Mardiyah, 2007). As a result, corporate governance has been heavily used to limit earnings manipulation (Kent, Routledge and Stewart, 2010). (González and Garca-Meca, 2014). A high degree of company governance can reduce earnings manipulation and avoid unethical behaviors and fraudulence on financial statements. High corporate governance has also been shown to reduce the level of firm bankruptcies and have a favorable influence on the welfare of shareholders and other associated parties (Fich and Shivdasani, 2006; Cheng, Aerts, and Jorissen, 2010; González and Garca-Meca, 2014). Particularly, for the board composition, the board should comprise a balance of exectutive directors (headed up by the Chief executive) and non-executive directors (headed up by the chairman). Having the balanced board will mean that board decisions are not influenced by on group of directors. With the publication of Circular 121/2012/TT-BTC (hereinafter, Circular 121) on July 26, 2012, Vietnam had a corporate governance reform. The most important reform was the necessity for board independence: in all publicly traded firms, independent directors must make up at least one-third of the board of directors. This is also the first Vietnamese legal document to fully identify and define the terms "non-executive directors" and "independent directors." It states that independent directors must not have a direct relationship with any key shareholders, large suppliers, large customers, legal advisors, or external auditors of the company, in addition to being nonexecutive. A few studies has studied the effectiveness of Circular 121 in improving board independence and minimizing earnings manipulation among Vietnamese firms since its introduction. However, there are reasons to believe that a more independent board of directors aids to deter the manipulation of earnings.

The above-mentioned states the impacts of corporate governance, especially board independence, on earnings manipulation in Vietnam. Vietnam is an emerging market in its early stages of development, as opposed to developed markets in Western countries. According to previous research, developing-country enterprises have more earnings management than developed-country firms (Li, Selover and Stein, 2011; Li, Ho Park and Shuji Bao, 2014). As a result, Vietnam presents an intriguing context in which to thoroughly investigate this subject.

The study adds to the literature on earnings manipulation in the following ways. It provides valuable insight into the practice of earnings manipulation in the Vietnamese setting, which is affected by boards. It will be easier for executive directors to manipulate earnings works without independent directors. The research is useful in that it gives policymakers a comprehensive perspective on which policies and corporate governance mechanisms to implement. Furthermore, rather than relying on conventional channels, such as financial statements, investors may be aware of how to analyze corporate success.

The research is organized into five part, including this introduction. The second part begins with a summary of the theoretical foundation and hypothesis development. The sample and methodology used in this study are discussed in part 3. The findings of the investigation are described in part 4. The conclusion, limitations, and scope for further research in this field are presented in the last part.

## 2. Literature review and hypotheses development

#### 2.1 Earnings Management

When managers manipulate the financial reporting process, this is known as earnings manipulation. Currently, investors are likely to consider earnings to be the most useful information on the financial statement when evaluating the firm's prospects. Another point of view is that a company's stock price, whether greater or lower, is thought to be vulnerable to earnings manipulation (Guthrie and Sokolowsky, 2010). According to Xie et al. (2003), CEO compensation would be determined by how well the boards met the earnings target. As a result, there is a potential that executives manipulate financial reporting information to deceive both insiders and outsiders about the company's performance. Earnings manipulation, however, is said to be destructive to a company's value because it lowers the quality of financial reporting (Jiraporn et al., 2008). Furthermore, earnings management could jeopardize the fortunes of stockholders (Park and Shin, 2004).

Real earnings management and accrual-based earnings management are the two types of earnings management (Gunny, 2010). Accrual-based earnings management, as defined by Dechow & Skinner (2000), illustrates the setting in which managers undertake biased earnings manipulation within generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) accounting alternatives. Accruals are designed to be used to show the integrity of daily accounting operations, however the accounting standards also leave important openings for administrative discretion. These discretions, such as revenue timing, allowance for irrecoverable debt, impairment of fixed assets, changing depreciation techniques, and so on, may result in a lack of transparency in corporate transactions. Despite the fact that these methods impair the transparency of economic transactions, managers are not directly responsible for business operations. Real activity manipulation, on the other hand, is defined by Roychowdhury (2006) as "departures from normal operational processes, motivated by managers' aim to deceive at least some stakeholders into believing particular financial reporting targets have been fulfilled in the usual course of operations. Managers manipulate real earnings by altering the structure or timing of actual occurrences with the goal of influencing the accounting system's outcomes. Managers may control earnings by engaging in real-world actions such as cutting discretionary spending, overproduction, and revenue manipulation. Enhancing sales promotions, providing excessive discounts, and offering flexible credit policies, in particular, may be able to improve revenues in a short period of time. Furthermore, more recent evidence suggests that genuine profits manipulation is supported by reducing maintenance, advertising, and research and development (R&D) costs in order to generate earnings (Graham, Harvey and Rajgopal, 2005). As a result, real earnings are examined under the supervision of auditors or financial analysts.

Examining real earnings management under the scrutiny of auditors or financial analysts is so problematic. The focus of this research will be on the use of discretionary accruals as a proxy for earnings management.

#### 2.3 Hypothesis development

Independent directors who have no material or pecuniary relationship with the firms yet sit fees, according to Finkelstein, Hambrick, and Cannella (2009). According to prior agency perspectives, the board of directors fails to monitor successfully without their independence in managing (Dechow et al., 1996; Beasley, 1996), therefore independent directors will perform well in controlling and managing the business (Fama and Jensen, 1983a). Because of their management knowledge, the board should usually be made up of inside directors who also act as top executive managers. Inside directors, on the other hand, may be harmful owing to conflicts of interest. As a result, the involvement of outside directors is necessary to ensure the wealth of the shareholders (Peasnell, Pope, & Young, 2003). According to Kiel & Nicholson (2003), independent directors play a vital role in protecting shareholders from managers' opportunistic behavior. There have been several attempts to look into the relationship between earnings manipulation and board independence. Klein was the first to demonstrate this link in an experimental setting (2002). Using a US database, he discovered a negative association between anomalous accruals and board independence in his seminal study. Similarly, Xie et al. (2003) point to a negative and substantial link between outside directors with corporate experience and discretionary accrual, implying that outside directors with corporate experience are more likely to keep an eye on the companies. Peasnell et al. (2005) contrasted the lower level of pre-managed earnings to the prior year's reported earnings to establish the favorable benefits of outside directors on the management of a UK listed company. Under the condition of earnings manipulation, Jaggi, Leung, and Gul (2009) discovered a negative and substantial link between board independence and earnings manipulation in Hong Kong.

Using the largest corporations in the S&P 100 Index as a sample, it is suggested that a higher percentage of board independence leads to fewer discretionary accruals (Cornett, Marcus and Tehranian, 2008). Similarly, Kent et al. (2010) investigates the negative direction of the coefficient in the relationship between board independence and discretionary accruals.

Nonetheless, independent directors, according to Raheja (2005), have less information than inside directors. Outside directors' management roles may be hampered by a lack of critical information, even if they are provided access to information management. Knowing that outside directors face challenges in obtaining information for monitoring, for example, managers may resent sharing the necessary information with them (Harris & Raviv, 2006; Adams & Ferreira, 2007). In light of these concerns, the purpose of this research is to see if the engagement of independent directors can help to reduce earning management in the Vietnamese context. Hence, this study proposes the following:

**Hypothesis:** Board independence is significantly and negatively associated with earnings management.

#### 3. Research methodology

#### 3.1 Data Collection and Research Methodology

#### 3.1.1 Data Collection

Between 2014 and 2020, the study sample, which included Vietnamese Listed Firms, was gathered from the Thomson Reuters Database. Because of the intricacy of their accounting standards, financial institutions (finance, bank, and insurance corporations) were excluded from this study. This research also removed missing numbers and used winsorization to eliminate extreme values. Finally, the study used a sample of 593 businesses with a total of 2,086 observations.

#### 3.1.2 Research Methodology

Because the observed data includes panel data with both spatial and temporal dimensions (593 businesses), the research must first choose a highly acceptable regression strategy (2013-2017). Fixed effect model (FEM) and random effect model (REM) are two common methods for modeling panel data (REM). To evaluate whether model is more appropriate, the research first runs Pooled OLS and FEM regressions using the F test. To determine whether model is better suited, the researchers use Pooled OLS and REM regression based on the Breusch - Pagan test. Finally, the research used the Hausman specification test to identify which of the two analysis techniques, FEM and REM, is the most suited (Hausman, 1978). The fixed effects are used if the Hausman test results reveal that the null hypothesis is rejected; otherwise, the effects are regarded random.

The endogeneity problem could be addressed with a measure of the relationship between earnings manipulation and board. Independence. This could happen if the relationship under investigation is impacted by other factors (which are not comprised in the regression function). In such cases, the residual term captures the effect of the unobserved variable, and it consequently becomes associated with the dependent variable, particularly discretionary accruals, biasing the estimations. As a result, a research strategy must be developed in order to investigate the impact of possible endogenous variables and to ensure that estimation is unbiased and well-organized. The study conducts tests to validate the model's defects, including heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and collinearity tests, to confirm that the findings acquired from the regression approach are meant to be analyzed. If the model has any flaws, the study will attempt multivariate regression using other methods. STATA statistical software 13 is used to examine the data.

The following empirical model is used to test the research hypotheses in this study. Earnings manipulation (EM) is represented by the model, which includes discretionary accruals (DA), board independence, and other control variables.

$$DA_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 BI_{i,t} + \alpha_2 Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

In order to make measurement of discretionary accruals (DA), first, this study applies a cash-flow method to measure total accruals (TA<sub>it</sub>) (Hribar and Collins, 2002; Davidson, Goodwin-Stewart and Kent, 2005; Habbash, Sindezingue and Salama, 2013). This approach encompasses subtracting the operating cash flow carried out from statement of cash flows from the amount of net income (before extraordinary items) from the income statement as follow:

 $TA_{i,t}$  = Net income – Cash flow from operation.

Where TA<sub>i,t</sub>: Total accruals of firm i in year t

Second, the modified Jones model seeks to measure the total discretionary accruals using the following variables, as described by Kothari et al. (2005):

$$\frac{_{TA_{i,t}}}{_{A_{i,t-1}}} = \alpha_1 \left(\frac{_1}{_{A_{i,t-1}}}\right) + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{_{\Delta REV_{i,t}-\Delta REC_{i,t}}}{_{A_{i,t-1}}}\right) + \alpha_3 \left(\frac{_{PPE_{i,t}}}{_{A_{i,t-1}}}\right) + \alpha_4 ROA_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
 (1)

Where:

TA<sub>i,t</sub>: Firm i's total discretionary accrual in year t;

 $A_{i,t-1}$ : Firm i's total assets in year t-1;

ΔREV<sub>i+</sub>: Firm i's changes in net revenues in year t;

 $\Delta REC_{i,t}$ : Change in account receivables from year t-1 to year t;

PPE<sub>i,t</sub>: Net property, plant and equipment scaled by assets;

ROAit: Return on total assets for firm i in year t;

 $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ : Residuals for firm i in year t.

Where  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_4$  are coefficients estimated from ordinary least squares (OLS) for all firms in our sample at time t.

With the estimation of regression parameters  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_4$ , this study also estimates the non-discretionary accruals (NDA) of the firms.

$$\frac{\textit{NDA}_{i,t}}{\textit{A}_{i,t-1}} = \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{\textit{A}_{i,t-1}} \right) + \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{\Delta \textit{REV}_{i,t} - \Delta \textit{REC}_{i,t}}{\textit{A}_{i,t-1}} \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{\textit{PPE}_{i,t}}{\textit{A}_{i,t-1}} \right) + \alpha_{4} \textit{ROA}_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

According to preceding analyses (Chen, Elder and Hsieh, 2007; Habbash, Sindezingue and Salama, 2013; Mostafa, 2017), having estimated non-discretionary accruals (NDA) form equation (2), firm i's discretionary accruals (DA) value in year t is computed as following equation:

$$DA_{i,t} = TA_{i,t} - NDA_{i,t}$$
 (3)

At the different point of time, managers have motives to inflate earnings (DA>0) or deflate earnings (DA<0) within the period, thus, DA value can be positive or negative depending on each company.

The independent variables and control variables are measurement as the following:

Table 1: Measurement of independent and control variables

Independent Variables	Code	Measure			
Board independence	BI	Number of independent members scaled by the number of board directors.			
Control Variables	Code	Measure			
Board size	BS	Number of members on board.			
Board share ownership	BSO	The percentage of shares owned by the directors on the board.			
Board financial expertise	BFE	Proportion of finance, accounting or economics experts compared to board members.			
Firm size	FS	The natural logarithm of total assets at the end of fiscal year.			

#### 4. Research results

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for the explanatory variables. Preferred to the descriptive results, the dependent variable, discretionary accruals which is the proxy for earnings management has the mean value of -5.78 and standard deviation of 241.69. According to the analysis of independent variables, the minimum and maximum values of board independence (BI) are 0% and 50% respectively with the mean value of 32.21%. Board size (BS) consists of approximately eight members with a mean (standard deviation) of 8.04 (1.42) and ranges from a minimum of three members to a maximum of 14 members on board. This is literally complied with the criteria of Vietnamese Labor Code compelling that the range of board size is between 5 to 11 members. The mean value of board share ownership (BSO) is 19.56% with the standard deviation of 9.7% and varies from 0.001% to 73.29%. In addition, board financial expertise (BFE) is comprised of approximately one member on board which has the financial background, with the range from 0 to 7 members. The firm size (FS) defined as logarithm of total asset is 8.85 which is smaller than the value of global firm size of 15.58.

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics** 

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
DA	-5.78	241.69	-2,139.46	5,223.8
BI (%)	32.21	7.43	0	50
BS	8.04	1.41	3	14
BSO	19.56	9.7	0.001	73.29
FS	8.85	0.66	7.18	11.33

Source: Original Study

This study uses regression analysis to examine the impact of board independence on earnings manipulation as measured by discretionary accruals (DA) after doing a descriptive analysis of the variables. The Hausman test is used in this study to determine whether the fixed effect or random effect estimation model is the best appropriate for data collecting.

The Hausman test suggests that Prob > Chi2 = 0.000, which is less than 0.05, as shown in Table 4.2. (5 percent ). As a result, for the study sample, the fixed effect model is preferable.

Table 3. Hausman test

	Coeffic	cients				
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	Sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))		
	fem	rem	Difference	S.E.		
BI	-249.8262	-292.225	42.39881	8.573623		
BS	122.9552	126.5926	-3.637336	.8312333		
BSO	-7.685728	-6.802539	8831887	.1635322		
BFE	117.845	126.6287	-8.783695	1.083299		
FS	16.55322	-3.02259	19.57581	22.99459		
	b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg					
	B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg					
Test:	Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic					
	$chi2(10) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$					
	= 168.22					
	Prob>chi2 = 0.0000					

Source: Original Study

If the data sample is modeled using FEM, the time-invariant endogeneity is also monitored. Because of the various data sample used to perform this research, this study will need to examine heteroscedasticity (Baltagi, 2008). The Modified Wald test for groupwise heteroscedasticity in the residuals of a fixed effect regression model is used to investigate heteroscedasticity (Rilstone, 2002). This result found that the p-value is less than level of significance 0.05 or 5%. It indicates that the variances are not constant, which means that there is a heteroscedasticity problem. The next analysis is to demonstrate the autocorrelation phenomenon in panel data by conducting Wooldridge test. Since p-value is greater than the level of significance 0.05 or 5%, the null hypothesis is accepted. Thus, there is no autocorrelation in the panel data. As shown in Table 4, the result shows that there is no collinearity diagnostics as VIFs of all variables are less than 10 (Gujarati and Porter, 2009).

Table 4. Collinearity diagnostics test

Variable	VIF	SQRT VIF	Tolerance	R-Square
l i				

DA	2.75	1.66	0.3633	0.6367
BI	1.96	1.40	0.5091	0.4909
BS	9.33	3.05	0.1072	0.8928
BSO	5.40	2.32	0.1852	0.8148
BFE	2.88	1.70	0.3471	0.6529
FS	1.14	1.07	0.8741	0.1259
Mean VIF	3.46			

Source: Original Study

To avoid the heteroscedasticity problem, this study uses a fixed effect model (FEM) in conjunction with Cluster-Robust estimation after assessing the research model's flaws (White, 1980). Table 5 shows the regression findings of the fixed effect model.

Table 5 Regression result and Cluster-Robust estimation

DA	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P >  t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
BI	-249.8262	54.54613	-4.58	0.000	-356.9536	-142.6987
BS	122.9552	7.858663	15.65	0.000	107.521	138.3895
BSO	-7.685728	1.40299	-5.48	0.000	-10.44117	-4.930284
BFE	117.845	9.045027	13.03	0.000	100.0807	135.6092
FS	16.55322	69.29416	2.59	0.811	64.91759	470.1219
sigma_u	205.68172					
sigma_e	105.4267					
rho	0.79193488	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

Source: Original Study

The finding in table 5 indicated that board independence will have a negative and significant impacts on earnings manipulation which is in accordance with the previous research (Klein, 2002; Xie, Davidson III, and DaDalt, 2003; Peasnell, Pope, and Young, 2005; Ali and Zhang, 2015). This resulet will highlight the critical role of independent directors on boards in limiting the likelihood of earnings manipulation. It has been suggested that board independence is an effective deterrent to earnings manipulation. The findings of relationships between other control variables and earnings manipulation are in accordance with the prior researches. For instance, board size has a positive & significant impact on manipulating earnings, implying that a larger board will result in more earnings management (Jensen, 1993, Black & Kim, 2012; Cheng, 2008). It is concluded that a multi-member board will lack cohesiveness, and so will be ineffective in monitoring executive activity. Additionally, there is a negative and significant correlation between board share ownership and earnings manipulation. According to the results of other experts, when board directors own a significant amount of stock in a company, they will carefully evaluate their decisions (Booth, Cornett and Tehranian, 2002). The greater the number of shares held by board directors, the better their decision-making will be, as they will want to safeguard and maximize shareholder wealth as well as their own (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). In line with previous research (Metawee, 2013), the study also reveals that board financial expertise is strongly and positively connected to earnings manipulation, implying that the more financial specialists on the board, the higher the level of earnings manipulation. Apart from the significance of other control variables, this study does not discover any significant relationships between earnings manipulation and firm size.

#### 5. Conclusions and suggestions

Due to the significance of the board of directors in corporate governance, it is critical to have a strong need for solid regulatory mechanisms and policies addressing board membership. In emerging markets, such as Vietnam, where minority owners are poorly protected, improving the efficacy of the board is linked to improving the scope of corporate governance and the quality of profitability.

Furthermore, the business requires a larger perspective and detailed analysis for each business in order to more efficiently design the business operation, which must be consistent with industry features, business size, capital structure, and performance.

In this study, currently, board independence can be considered an effective instrument for monitoring managers' earnings management practices. According to the findings, companies with more independent directors had a lower risk of earnings manipulation, which is consistent with previous research (Ali & Zhang, 2015; Klein, 2002; Xie et al., 2003; Ken V Peasnell et al., 2005). To put it another way, this discovery emphasizes the critical importance of independent directors in preventing earnings manipulation. This will be a great implication for policy-makers and shareholders to build a strong corporate governance for the firms in Vietnam. Non-executive or independent directors must not be involved in the day to day operating the business. Instead, they could use their experience and expertise to provide independent advices and objectivity board as a whole. They also perform supervisory role and will review and monitor the executive directors to ensure that they are fulfilling their duties and running the business in the best interests of shareholders. This paper would suggest to the policy-maker that in order to their dependence, independent director should not be reliant on the company for their main source of income. They should often work part-time for the company and can have the specialist role within the organization. Independent directors should sit on the audit committee, remuneration committee and nomination committee. These committees could help to control many factors within the firms such as followings. Audit committee is responsible for reviewing the effectiveness of board risk management processes, evaluate the internal control processes of the firms. The remuneration committee is responsible for making sure that the firms offer the performance-related remuneration package which is sufficient to attract and retain high-quality directors. The nomination is responsible for identifying and approving the appointment of new directors to the board. In summary, independent directors play a very important role in each of these sub-committee and their independence and objectivity could improve the quality and relevance of decisions taken. Many questions have arisen as a result of this study that need to be investigated further. When data is available, the research should be expanded to include worldwide settings. This will provide a comparative understanding of how board features affect earnings management in emerging markets, particularly Vietnam, and developed markets. In order to broaden our understanding of this issue, future study should incorporate various types of earnings management.

#### References

- Abdul Rahman, R. and Haneem Mohamed Ali, F. (2006) 'Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence', *Managerial Auditing Journal*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 21(7), pp. 783–804.
- Adams, R. B. and Ferreira, D. (2007) 'A theory of friendly boards', *The journal of finance*. Wiley Online Library, 62(1), pp. 217–250.
- Agrawal, A. and Chadha, S. (2005) 'Corporate governance and accounting scandals', *The Journal of Law and Economics*. The University of Chicago Press, 48(2), pp. 371–406.
- Akhtaruddin, M. and Haron, H. (2010) 'Board ownership, audit committees' effectiveness and corporate voluntary disclosures', Asian Review of Accounting. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 18(1), pp. 68–82.
- Ali, A. and Zhang, W. (2015) 'CEO tenure and earnings management', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. Elsevier, 59(1), pp. 60–79.
- Alzoubi, E. S. S. and Selamat, M. H. (2012) 'The effectiveness of corporate governance mechanisms on constraining earning management: Literature review and proposed framework', *International Journal of Global Business*, 5(1), pp. 17–35
- Argüden, Y. (2010) 'Measuring the effectiveness of corporate governance', Retrieved from INSEAD Knowledge website: http://knowledge.insead.edu/corporategovernance-effectiveness-100415.cfm.
- Baltagi, B. (2008) Econometric analysis of panel data. John Wiley & Sons.
- Beasley, M. S. (1996) 'An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud', *Accounting review*. JSTOR, pp. 443–465.
- Bédard, J., Chtourou, S. M. and Courteau, L. (2004) 'The effect of audit committee expertise, independence, and activity on aggressive earnings management', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 23(2), pp. 13–35.
- Black, B. and Kim, W. (2012) 'The effect of board structure on firm value: A multiple identification strategies approach using Korean data', *Journal of Financial Economics*. Elsevier, 104(1), pp. 203–226.
- Booth, J. R., Cornett, M. M. and Tehranian, H. (2002) 'Boards of directors, ownership, and regulation', Journal of Banking &

- Finance. Elsevier, 26(10), pp. 1973-1996.
- Brickley, J. A., Lease, R. C. and Smith Jr, C. W. (1988) 'Ownership structure and voting on antitakeover amendments', *Journal of financial economics*. Elsevier, 20, pp. 267–291.
- Brown, L. D. and Caylor, M. L. (2006) 'Corporate governance and firm valuation', *Journal of accounting and public policy*. Elsevier, 25(4), pp. 409–434.
- Carcello, J. V et al. (2002) 'Board characteristics and audit fees', Contemporary accounting research. Wiley Online Library, 19(3), pp. 365–384.
- Castañeda, G. (2000) 'Governance of large corporations in Mexico and productivity implications.'
- Chen, K. Y., Elder, R. J. and Hsieh, Y.-M. (2007) 'Corporate governance and earnings management: The implications of corporate governance best-practice principles for Taiwanese listed companies', *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*. Elsevier, 3(2), pp. 73–105.
- Chen, Z. et al. (2005) 'Ownership concentration, firm performance, and dividend policy in Hong Kong', *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*. Elsevier, 13(4), pp. 431–449.
- Cheng, P., Aerts, W. and Jorissen, A. (2010) 'Earnings management, asset restructuring, and the threat of exchange delisting in an earnings-based regulatory regime', *Corporate governance: an international review.* Wiley Online Library, 18(5), pp. 438–456.
- Cheng, S. (2008) 'Board size and the variability of corporate performance', *Journal of financial economics*. Elsevier, 87(1), pp. 157–176.
- Ching, K. M. L., Firth, M. and Rui, O. M. (2006) 'Earnings management, corporate governance and the market performance of seasoned equity offerings in Hong Kong', *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*. Elsevier, 2(1), pp. 73–98.
- Cornett, M. M., Marcus, A. J. and Tehranian, H. (2008) 'Corporate governance and pay-for-performance: The impact of earnings management', *Journal of financial economics*. Elsevier, 87(2), pp. 357–373.
- Daghsni, O., Zouhayer, M. and Mbarek, K. (2016) 'Earnings management and board characteristics: evidence from French listed firms', *Account and Financial Management Journal*, 1(2), pp. 92–110.
- Davidson, R., Goodwin-Stewart, J. and Kent, P. (2005) 'Internal governance structures and earnings management', *Accounting & Finance*. Wiley Online Library, 45(2), pp. 241–267.
- Dechow, P. M. and Skinner, D. J. (2000) 'Earnings management: Reconciling the views of accounting academics, practitioners, and regulators', *Accounting horizons*, 14(2), pp. 235–250.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G. and Sweeney, A. P. (1996) 'Causes and consequences of earnings manipulation: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by the SEC', *Contemporary accounting research*. Wiley Online Library, 13(1), pp. 1–36.
- Denis, D. K. (2001) 'Twenty-five years of corporate governance research... and counting', *Review of financial economics*. Elsevier, 10(3), pp. 191–212.
- Ebrahim, A. (2007) 'Earnings management and board activity: an additional evidence', *Review of Accounting and Finance*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 6(1), pp. 42–58.
- Erickson, M. and Wang, S. (1999) 'Earnings management by acquiring firms in stock for stock mergers', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. Elsevier, 27(2), pp. 149–176.
- Fama, E. F. and Jensen, M. C. (1983a) 'Agency problems and residual claims', *The Journal of Law and Economics*. The University of Chicago Press, 26(2), pp. 327–349.
- Fama, E. F. and Jensen, M. C. (1983b) 'Separation of ownership and control', *The journal of law and Economics*. The University of Chicago Press, 26(2), pp. 301–325.
- Fich, E. M. and Shivdasani, A. (2006) 'Are busy boards effective monitors?', *The Journal of finance*. Wiley Online Library, 61(2), pp. 689–724.
- Firth, M., Fung, P. M. Y. and Rui, O. M. (2007) 'Ownership, two-tier board structure, and the informativeness of earnings—Evidence from China', *Journal of accounting and public policy*. Elsevier, 26(4), pp. 463–496.
- Francis, B. *et al.* (2015) 'Gender differences in financial reporting decision making: Evidence from accounting conservatism', *Contemporary Accounting Research.* Wiley Online Library, 32(3), pp. 1285–1318.
- Gaur, S. S., Bathula, H. and Singh, D. (2015) 'Ownership concentration, board characteristics and firm performance: a contingency framework', *Management Decision*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 53(5), pp. 911–931.
- González, J. S. and García-Meca, E. (2014) 'Does corporate governance influence earnings management in Latin American markets?', *Journal of Business Ethics*. Springer, 121(3), pp. 419–440.
- Graham, J. R., Harvey, C. R. and Rajgopal, S. (2005) 'The economic implications of corporate financial reporting', *Journal of accounting and economics*. Elsevier, 40(1–3), pp. 3–73.
- Gujarati, D. N. and Porter, D. (2009) 'Basic Econometrics Mc Graw-Hill International Edition'.
- Gulzar, M. A. (2011) 'Corporate governance characteristics and earnings management: Empirical evidence from Chinese listed firms', *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 1(1), pp. 133–151.

- Guthrie, K. and Sokolowsky, J. (2010) 'Large shareholders and the pressure to manage earnings', *Journal of Corporate Finance*. Elsevier, 16(3), pp. 302–319.
- Habbash, M., Sindezingue, C. and Salama, A. (2013) 'The effect of audit committee characteristics on earnings management: Evidence from the United Kingdom', *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*. Springer, 10(1), pp. 13–38.
- Harris, M. and Raviv, A. (2006) 'A theory of board control and size', *The Review of Financial Studies*. Society for Financial Studies, 21(4), pp. 1797–1832.
- Hausman, J. A. (1978) 'Specification tests in econometrics', *Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society*. JSTOR, pp. 1251–1271.
- Healy, P. M. and Wahlen, J. M. (1999) 'A review of the earnings management literature and its implications for standard setting', *Accounting horizons*, 13(4), pp. 365–383.
- Hiraki, T. et al. (2003) 'Corporate governance and firm value in Japan: Evidence from 1985 to 1998', Pacific-Basin Finance Journal. Elsevier, 11(3), pp. 239–265.
- Hoang, T. C., Indra, A. and Ma, S. (2015) 'The Effect of Board Diversity on Earnings Quality: An Empirical Study of Listed Firms in Vietnam', 00(00), pp. 1–18. doi: 10.1111/auar.12128.
- Hoang, T., Indra, A. and Ma, S. (2014) 'State ownership and earnings management: empirical evidence from Vietnamese listed firms'.
- Holtz, L. and Sarlo Neto, A. (2014) 'Effects of board of directors' characteristics on the quality of accounting information in Brazil', *Revista Contabilidade & Finanças*. SciELO Brasil, 25(66), pp. 255–266.
- Hoskisson, R. E. *et al.* (2000) 'Strategy in emerging economies', *Academy of management journal.* Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 43(3), pp. 249–267.
- Hribar, P. and Collins, D. W. (2002) 'Errors in estimating accruals: Implications for empirical research', *Journal of Accounting research*. Wiley Online Library, 40(1), pp. 105–134.
- Jaggi, B., Leung, S. and Gul, F. (2009) 'Family control, board independence and earnings management: Evidence based on Hong Kong firms', *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*. Elsevier, 28(4), pp. 281–300.
- Jensen, M. C. (1993) 'The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems', the Journal of Finance. Wiley Online Library, 48(3), pp. 831–880.
- Jensen, M. C. and Meckling, W. H. (1976) 'Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure', Journal of financial economics. Elsevier, 3(4), pp. 305–360.
- Jiraporn, P. et al. (2008) 'Is earnings management opportunistic or beneficial? An agency theory perspective', International Review of Financial Analysis. Elsevier, 17(3), pp. 622–634.
- Kent, P., Routledge, J. and Stewart, J. (2010) 'Innate and discretionary accruals quality and corporate governance', *Accounting & Finance*. Wiley Online Library, 50(1), pp. 171–195.
- Kiel, G. C. and Nicholson, G. J. (2003) 'Board composition and corporate performance: How the Australian experience informs contrasting theories of corporate governance', *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. Wiley Online Library, 11(3), pp. 189–205.
- Klein, A. (2002) 'Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management', *Journal of accounting and economics*. Elsevier, 33(3), pp. 375–400.
- Kothari, S. P., Leone, A. J. and Wasley, C. E. (2005) 'Performance matched discretionary accrual measures', *Journal of accounting and economics*. Elsevier, 39(1), pp. 163–197.
- Lang, M. H. and Lundholm, R. J. (2000) 'Voluntary disclosure and equity offerings: reducing information asymmetry or hyping the stock?', *Contemporary accounting research*. Wiley Online Library, 17(4), pp. 623–662.
- Li, S., Ho Park, S. and Shuji Bao, R. (2014) 'How much can we trust the financial report? Earnings management in emerging economies', *International Journal of Emerging Markets*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 9(1), pp. 33–53.
- Li, S., Selover, D. D. and Stein, M. (2011) "Keep silent and make money": Institutional patterns of earnings management in China', *Journal of Asian Economics*. Elsevier, 22(5), pp. 369–382.
- Lo, A. W. Y., Wong, R. M. K. and Firth, M. (2010) 'Can corporate governance deter management from manipulating earnings?

  Evidence from related-party sales transactions in China', *Journal of Corporate Finance*. Elsevier, 16(2), pp. 225–235.
- Loderer, C. and Peyer, U. (2002) 'Board overlap, seat accumulation and share prices', *European Financial Management*. Wiley Online Library, 8(2), pp. 165–192.
- Mak, Y. T. and Li, Y. (2001) 'Determinants of corporate ownership and board structure: evidence from Singapore', *Journal of Corporate Finance*. Elsevier, 7(3), pp. 235–256.
- Metawee, A. (2013) 'The relationship between characteristics of audit committee, board of directors and level of earning management, Evidence from Egypt', *Journal of International Business and Finance*, 6(1), pp. 1–34.
- Morck, R., Nakamura, M. and Shivdasani, A. (2000) 'Banks, ownership structure, and firm value in Japan', The Journal of

- Business. JSTOR, 73(4), pp. 539-567.
- Mostafa, W. (2017) 'The impact of earnings management on the value relevance of earnings: Empirical evidence from Egypt', Managerial Auditing Journal. Emerald Publishing Limited, 32(1), pp. 50–74.
- Parfet, W. U. (2000) 'Accounting subjectivity and earnings management: A preparer perspective', *Accounting Horizons*, 14(4), pp. 481–488.
- Park, Y. W. and Shin, H.-H. (2004) 'Board composition and earnings management in Canada', *Journal of corporate Finance*. Elsevier, 10(3), pp. 431–457.
- Peasnell, K. V, Pope, P. F. and Young, S. (2000) 'Accrual management to meet earnings targets: UK evidence pre-and post-Cadbury', *The British Accounting Review*. Elsevier, 32(4), pp. 415–445.
- Peasnell, K. V, Pope, P. F. and Young, S. (2003) 'Managerial equity ownership and the demand for outside directors', *European Financial Management*. Wiley Online Library, 9(2), pp. 231–250.
- Peasnell, K. V, Pope, P. F. and Young, S. (2005) 'Board monitoring and earnings management: Do outside directors influence abnormal accruals?', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. Wiley Online Library, 32(7-8), pp. 1311–1346.
- Pfeffer, J. (1972) 'Size and composition of corporate boards of directors: The organization and its environment', *Administrative science quarterly*. JSTOR, pp. 218–228.
- Piepenbrink, A. and Gaur, A. S. (2013) 'Methodological advances in the analysis of bipartite networks: an illustration using board interlocks in Indian firms', *Organizational Research Methods*. Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 16(3), pp. 474–496.
- Porter, M. E. (1992) 'Capital choices: Changing the way America invests in industry', *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. Wiley Online Library, 5(2), pp. 4–16.
- Post, C., Rahman, N. and Rubow, E. (2011) 'Green governance: Boards of directors' composition and environmental corporate social responsibility', *Business & Society*. Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 50(1), pp. 189–223.
- Pudjiastuti, W. and Mardiyah, A. A. (2007) 'The influence of board structure on firm performance', SimposiumNasionalAkuntansi X.
- Raheja, C. G. (2005) 'Determinants of board size and composition: A theory of corporate boards', *Journal of financial and quantitative analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 40(2), pp. 283–306.
- Roychowdhury, S. (2006) 'Earnings management through real activities manipulation', *Journal of accounting and economics*. Elsevier, 42(3), pp. 335–370.
- Sarkar, J., Sarkar, S. and Sen, K. (2008) 'Board of directors and opportunistic earnings management: Evidence from India', Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 23(4), pp. 517–551.
- Singh, D. and Delios, A. (2017) 'Corporate governance, board networks and growth in domestic and international markets: Evidence from India', *Journal of World Business*. Elsevier, 52(5), pp. 615–627.
- Swastika, D. L. T. (2013) 'Corporate governance, firm size, and earning management: Evidence in Indonesia stock exchange', Journal of Business and Management, 10(4), pp. 77–82.
- Switzer, L. N., Tu, Q. and Wang, J. (2018) 'Corporate governance and default risk in financial firms over the post-financial crisis period: International evidence', *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*. Elsevier B.V., 52, pp. 196–210. doi: 10.1016/j.intfin.2017.09.023.
- Teoh, S. H., Welch, I. and Wong, T. J. (1998) 'Earnings management and the underperformance of seasoned equity offerings1', *Journal of Financial economics*. Elsevier, 50(1), pp. 63–99.
- Wally, S. and Baum, J. R. (1994) 'Personal and structural determinants of the pace of strategic decision making', *Academy of Management journal*. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 37(4), pp. 932–956.
- Van der Walt, N. et al. (2006) 'Board configuration: are diverse boards better boards?', Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 6(2), pp. 129–147.
- Wang, L. and Yung, K. (2011) 'Do state enterprises manage earnings more than privately owned firms? The case of China', Journal of Business Finance & Accounting. Wiley Online Library, 38(7-8), pp. 794–812.
- White, H. (1980) 'A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity', econometrica. Princeton, 48(4), pp. 817–838.
- Xie, B., Davidson III, W. N. and DaDalt, P. J. (2003) 'Earnings management and corporate governance: the role of the board and the audit committee', *Journal of corporate finance*. Elsevier, 9(3), pp. 295–316.